Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/666,669	TUAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel D. Chang	2819
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Daniel D. Chang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Justin Liu.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 February 2006	Time: <u>13:00</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 33-37  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed that claims 33, 34, and 35 will be combined with limitation of the last 2 lines of the amended claim 26 and cancel calims 34, 35, and 37. See Examiner's Amendment for detail.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – it appropriate)